

PRECEDENTIAL

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

NO. 07-3447

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

CHARLES BORNMAN
Appellant

On Appeal From the United States District Court
For the District of the Virgin Islands
(D.C. Crim. Action No. 03-cr-00127-1)
District Judge: Hon. Raymond L. Finch

Argued December 10, 2008

BEFORE: FISHER, JORDAN and
STAPLETON, *Circuit Judges*

(Opinion Filed March 6, 2009)

ORDER AMENDING OPINION

STAPLETON, *Circuit Judge*:

The Court having concluded that the phrase, “quid pro quo” is utilized in appellants’ briefing solely in the context of the argument addressed and resolved in the paragraph of its opinion bridging pages 11 and 12,

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the opinion in this matter filed on March 6, 2009, is hereby amended as follows:

On page 12, the first paragraph of **V. Additional Count Two Arguments** is deleted in its entirety.

The next paragraph of that section, which now becomes the first paragraph, is amended by adding the following new first sentence:

Bornman makes several additional arguments relating to Count Two which we find without merit.

The second sentence of that paragraph is amended by striking the word “also”.

By the Court

/s/ Walter K. Stapleton
Circuit Judge

DATED: May 5, 2009